Lecture 13 Methods of Evaluating Estimators

Chao Song

College of Ecology Lanzhou University

October 28, 2024

Evaluating estimators

We want an estimator to be "close" to the true value of the parameter it tries to estimate. The "closeness" can be evaluated in multiple ways:

- Bias: how far away is the estimator from the true value on average?
- Efficiency: how much uncertainty do we have in the estimator?
- **Consistency**: does the estimator become closer to the true value as sample size increases?
- **Sufficiency**: has the estimator used all available information from the sample to estimator the parameter of interest?

Bias

Definition: An estimator $u(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ is an **unbiased estimator** of a parameter θ if $E[u(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)] = \theta$. Otherwise, it is a biased estimator.

Example: Let *X* be a random variable with mean μ and variance σ^2 and X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be a random sample. The sample mean and variance

$$\overline{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$
 and $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2$

are unbiased estimators of μ and σ^2

Proof:

$$E(\overline{X}) = E(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_i) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}E(X_i)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n}n\mu = \mu$$

Bias

$$E(s^{2}) = E\left[\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_{i}-\overline{X})^{2}\right] = \frac{1}{n-1}E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_{i}^{2}-2X_{i}\overline{X}+\overline{X}^{2})\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{n-1}\left[E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}^{2}\right) - 2E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\overline{X}\right) + E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\overline{X}^{2}\right)\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{n-1}\left[nE(X_{i}^{2}) - 2nE(\overline{X}^{2}) + nE(\overline{X}^{2})\right] = \frac{1}{n-1}\left[nE(X_{i}^{2}) - nE(\overline{X}^{2})\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{n-1}\left[n(\sigma^{2}+\mu^{2}) - n(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}+\mu^{2})\right] = \sigma^{2}$$

using the fact that $Var(X) = E(X^2) - [E(x)]^2$. Hence, sample mean and variance are unbiased estimator of μ and σ^2 .

Consistency

A sequence $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ of random variables **converges in probability** towards the random variable *X* if for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}P(|X_n-X|>\varepsilon)=0$$

A sequence $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ of random variables **converges almost surely** or **converge with probability 1** to a random variable *X* if

$$P(\lim_{n\to\infty}X_n=X)=1$$

As sample size $n \to \infty$, if an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ converges to θ in probability, $\hat{\theta}$ is a consistent estimator. If $\hat{\theta}$ converges to θ in probability 1, then $\hat{\theta}$ is a strongly consistent estimator.

Bias and consistency

Bias and consistency describe different aspects of an estimator and are not equivalent concepts. An estimator can be unbiased but inconsistent, or biased but consistent. However, if an estimator is unbiased and it converges to a value as $n \to \infty$, then it must be an consistent estimator and converges to the true value of the parameter.

Unbiased but inconsistent: For a random sample $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n, X_1$ is an unbiased estimator of the population mean μ because $E(X_1) = \mu$, but it is clear that the property of X_1 as an estimator does not change with sample size *n* and it is not a consistent estimator.

Biased but consistent: The maximum likelihood estimate of population variance σ^2 , $\hat{\sigma^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2$ is an biased estimator of σ^2 , but it is a consistent estimator.

Efficiency

Definition: The **mean square error (MSE)** of an estimator u(X) of a parameter θ is the function of θ defined as $E(u(X) - \theta)^2$.

Mean square error can be decomposed into two components as

$$E(u(X) - \theta)^{2} = Var[u(X)] + (E[u(X)] - \theta)^{2}$$

For an unbiased estimator, i.e., $E[u(X)] = \theta$, the mean square error is equal to the variance of the estimator.

Sufficiency

Definition: A statistic u(X) is a sufficient statistic for θ if the conditional distribution of the sample X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n given the value of u(X) does not depend on θ .

Fisher-Neyman Factorization Theorem: Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ denote random variables with joint PDF or PMF $f(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n | \theta)$, which depends on the parameter θ . The statistic $Y = u(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ is a sufficient statistic for θ if and only if

$$f(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n | \theta) = \phi(u(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) | \theta) h(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$$

where ϕ depends on X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n only through $u(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$ and $h(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$ does not depend θ .

Sufficiency

Theorem: Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be a random sample from a distribution with PDF or PMF $f(x|\theta)$. Let $Y_1 = u_1(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ be a sufficient statistic for θ , and let $Y_2 = u_2(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ be an unbiased estimator of θ , where Y_2 is not a function of Y_1 alone. Then $E(Y_2|Y_1)$ defines a statistic $u(Y_1)$, a function of the sufficient statistic Y_1 , which is an unbiased estimator of θ , and its variance is less than that of Y_2 .

The important implication is that for every other unbiased estimator of θ , we can always find an unbiased estimator based on the sufficient statistic that has a variance at least as small as the first unbiased estimator. Hence, we might as well search for an unbiased estimator by considering only those unbiased estimators based on sufficient statistics.

Asymptotic properties of maximum likelihood estimator

Maximum likelihood estimators is widely used because it has many desirable properties. Under regular conditions, maximum likelihood estimator is,

- asymptotically consistent;
- approximately normally distributed;
- asymptotically efficient;
- is sufficient for a large family of distributions

In the following slides, rough sketch proof of these properties of the maximum likelihood estimator is provided. These contents are much more advanced than necessary for an introductory course like this. Therefore, these materials are optional.

Consistency of maximum likelihood estimator

Law of large numbers: If the distribution of the i.i.d. sample $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ is such that X_i has finite expectation, i.e., $|E(X)| < \infty$, then the sample average

$$\overline{X} = rac{X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_n}{n} o E(X)$$

converges to its expectation in probability.

Using law of large numbers, the likelihood function normalized by 1/n

$$\frac{1}{n}\ln\left[L(\theta)\right] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ln\left[f(X_{i}|\theta)\right] \to E\left[\ln f(X_{i}|\theta)\right]$$

in probability. We also know that the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\theta}$ maximizes $\ln [L(\theta)]$ and thus $\frac{1}{n} \ln [L(\theta)]$. Since $\frac{1}{n} \ln [L(\theta)]$ converges to $E[\ln f(X_i|\theta)], \hat{\theta}$ should also converge to the value that maximizes $E[\ln f(X_i|\theta)].$

Consistency of maximum likelihood estimator

What value maximizes $E[\ln f(X_i|\theta)]$?

Let θ_0 be the true value of θ and θ_1 be any other estimates of θ .

$$E\big[\ln f(X_i|\theta_1)\big] - E\big[\ln f(X_i|\theta_0)\big] = E\bigg[\ln \frac{f(X_i|\theta_1)}{f(X_i|\theta_0)}\bigg]$$

Because ln(x) is a concave function,

$$E\left[\ln\frac{f(X_i|\theta_1)}{f(X_i|\theta_0)}\right] < \ln\left[E\left(\frac{f(X_i|\theta_1)}{f(X_i|\theta_0)}\right)\right] = \ln\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{f(X_i|\theta_1)}{f(X_i|\theta_0)}f(X_i|\theta_0)dx\right)$$
$$= \ln\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}f(X_i|\theta_1)dx\right) = \ln(1) = 0.$$

Thus, θ_0 maximizes $E[\ln f(X_i|\theta)]$. Therefore, we conclude that $\hat{\theta}$ converges to θ_0 and is thus a consistent estimator.

The maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\theta}$ for a parameter θ has asymptotic normal distribution. To prove this, we first note that we obtain $\hat{\theta}$ by setting the derivatives of the log likelihood function to 0, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial [\ln L(\hat{\theta})]}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

Approximating the left hand side of the equation using the first two terms in the Taylor expansion, we have

$$\frac{\partial [\ln L(\theta)]}{\partial \theta} + (\theta - \hat{\theta}) \frac{\partial^2 [\ln L(\theta)]}{\partial \theta^2} \approx 0$$

Rearranging the equation, we obtain

$$\hat{\theta} - \theta = \frac{\frac{\partial [\ln L(\theta)]}{\partial \theta}}{-\frac{\partial^2 [\ln L(\theta)]}{\partial \theta^2}}$$

We first consider the numerator. Recall that

$$\ln L(\theta) = \ln f(X_1|\theta) + \ln f(X_2|\theta) + \dots + \ln f(X_n|\theta)$$

and thus

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial [\ln f(X_i|\theta)]}{\partial \theta}$$

This is the sum of n independent and identically distributed random variables and thus, by central limit theorem, has an approximate normal distribution. The mean of the distribution is

$$n\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial [\ln f(X_i|\theta)]}{\partial \theta} f(X_i|\theta) dx = n\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial [f(X_i|\theta)]}{\partial \theta} \frac{f(X_i|\theta)}{f(X_i|\theta)} dx$$
$$= n\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial [f(X_i|\theta)]}{\partial \theta} dx = n\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(X_i|\theta)\right] = n\frac{\partial(1)}{\partial \theta} = 0.$$

We can consider the variance of the distribution. We just show that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial [\ln f(X_i|\theta)]}{\partial \theta} f(X_i|\theta) dx = 0$$

Take derivative with respect to θ , we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 [\ln f(X_i|\theta)]}{\partial \theta^2} f(X_i|\theta) + \frac{\partial [\ln f(X_i|\theta)]}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial [f(X_i|\theta)]}{\partial \theta} \right\} dx = 0$$

Note that

$$\frac{\partial [f(X|\theta)]}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial [\ln f(X|\theta)]}{\partial \theta} f(X|\theta)$$

Using this in the equation above, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\partial [\ln f(X|\theta)]}{\partial \theta} \right\}^2 f(X|\theta) dx = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 [\ln f(X|\theta)]}{\partial \theta^2} f(X|\theta) dx$$

Because $E\left(\frac{\partial \ln f(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right) = 0$, this last expression provides the variance of $\frac{\partial \ln f(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta}$. Thus,

$$Var(\frac{\partial \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta}) = Var(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \ln f(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta}) = nVar(\frac{\partial \ln f(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta})$$
$$= -nE\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right]$$

This is commonly denoted as $I(\theta)$ and is referred to as the Fisher information for the sample. Thus far, we have shown that the numerator in the expression of $\hat{\theta} - \theta$ has a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance $I(\theta)$. We now consider the denominator.

The denominator is also the sum of independent and identically distributed random variables

$$-\frac{\partial^2 \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}$$

Based on law of large numbers, as sample size *n* increases,

$$-\frac{\partial^2 \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \to n E\left(\frac{\partial^2 f(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right) = I(\theta).$$

Thus, we have shown that asymptotically,

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \sim N(0, I(\theta)) \\ - \frac{\partial^2 \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \to I(\theta)$$

We therefore have, asymptotically,

$$Var(\hat{\theta} - \theta) = Var\left(\frac{\frac{\partial \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta}}{-\frac{\partial^2 \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}}\right) = Var\left(\frac{\frac{\partial \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta}}{I(\theta)}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{I(\theta)^2} Var\left(\frac{\partial \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right) = \frac{1}{I(\theta)}$$

We therefore can see, at least roughly, that $(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \sim N(0, I(\theta)^{-1})$. That is, for any maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\theta}$, we have asymptotically

$$\hat{\theta} \sim N(\theta, I(\theta)^{-1})$$

Efficiency of maximum likelihood estimator

Cramer–Rao lower bound: Suppose $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ is a random sample from a population with density function $f(x|\theta)$. Let $Y = u(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ be an unbiased estimator of θ . Then

$$Var(Y) \geqslant \frac{1}{I(\theta)}$$

where $I(\theta)$ is the **Fisher information** of the sample and is defined as

$$I(\theta) = E\left[\left(\frac{\partial \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right] = -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right) = -nE\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right)$$

Because the maximum likelihood estimator has asymptotic variance of $I(\theta)^{-1}$. The Cramer–Rao lower bound suggests that maximum likelihood estimator has the minimum variance that an unbiased estimator can achieve. Thus, maximum likelihood estimator is asymptotically efficient.