# **Lecture 21 The Analysis of Variance**

## **Chao Song**

College of Ecology Lanzhou University

December 30, 2024

## **The analysis of variance**

A common scenario we encounter in data analysis is comparing a response variable under several treatments

- Comparing plant growth rate under three levels of fertilizations;
- Comparing soil respiration under ambient temperature and warming;
- Evaluating student performance under different teaching methods.

In these examples, we refer to the independent variable that defines the groups as **factors**, and different values of the factor is called its **levels**. To analyze the difference among group means, we typically use a method called **analysis of variance**, or simply **ANOVA**.

## **The analysis of variance**

To examine whether the means in each treatment are all the same or not, an intuitive approach is to compare the variation among treatment means and the variation within a treatment group.



## **One-way ANOVA**

Suppose we have *k* groups of observations, each sampled from a normal population with means  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_k$  and a common variance  $\sigma^2.$  Each group has  $n_k$  observations. To test  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_k$ , we compare variation among group means and variation within a group.

Variation among group means, often referred to as SST or sum square of treatments, is calculated as

$$
SST = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i (\overline{Y_{i.}} - \overline{Y})^2
$$

Variation within a group, which is referred to as sum square of errors or SSE, is calculated as

$$
SSE = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \overline{Y_{i.}})^2
$$

# **One-way ANOVA**

The hypothesis H<sub>0</sub>:  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_k$  is tested by a F-statistic

$$
\mathcal{F} = \frac{SST/(k-1)}{SSE/(n-k)} \sim \mathcal{F}_{k-1,n-k}
$$

Conventionally, the results of ANOVA are presented in an ANOVA table



We can consider the effects of more than one factor. Suppose we have two factors *A* and *B*. They have *a* and *b* levels respectively. We assume that each observation is  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_{ij}, \sigma^2).$  This type of data typically allows us to examine

- The main effects of *A* and *B*;
- The interaction between *A* and *B*.



(A typical experiment set up for two-way ANOVA)

Two-way ANOVA allows us to identify interactions, which means that the effect of one factor depends on the level of another factor.



(An illustration of interaction in two-way ANOVA.)

The main effect and interaction can be tested by comparing sum squares of each factor and their interaction with the sum squares of error.

Let *Yijk* be the *k*th replicates at level *i* of factor *A* and level *j* of factor *j*. Suppose there are *a* levels of factor *A*, *b* levels of factor *B*, and *nij* replicates within each *A* and *B* treatment combination.

$$
SSA = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} (\overline{Y_{i..}} - \overline{Y})^{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
SSB = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} (\overline{Y_{j.}} - \overline{Y})^{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
SSAB = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} (\overline{Y_{ij.}} - \overline{Y_{i..}} - \overline{Y_{.j.}} + \overline{Y})^{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} (Y_{ijk} - \overline{Y_{ij.}})^{2}
$$

The results of two-way ANOVA can be presented in an ANOVA table.



**Example:** An ecologists grew three varieties of oats under four nitrogen fertilization levels. She measured the crop yield and used a two-way ANOVA to analyze the effects of oats variety and nitrogen fertilization level. What conclusions would you draw based on the results below?



# **The analysis of variance**

The intuitive approach we have introduced so far can be extended to cases with more than two factors. In essence, ANOVA decomposes the total sum of squares into sum of squares for each factor.



ANOVA uses decomposition of sum of squares to compare means in groups defined by factors. Similarly, we have seen that we use the F-statistics constructed from sum of squares for hypothesis testing in linear models. This similarity is not coincidence. In fact, ANOVA is a type of linear model.

Recall a linear model is defined as a model where the response variable is a linear function of parameters, i.e.,

$$
y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \cdots + \beta_k x_{ik} + \varepsilon_i
$$

ANOVA can be written as a linear model by defining *x* as a dummy or indicator variable.

A one-way ANOVA with *k* groups can be written as

$$
y_{ij} = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \cdots + \beta_k x_k + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)
$$

Here,  $x_i$  is an indicator variable where  $x_i = 1$  if the observation is in group *i* and  $x_i = 0$  if the observation is not in group *i*.

A few comments on the model parameters:

- The model can be written more concisely as  $y_{ij} = \beta_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ ;
- There are  $k + 1$  parameters in the model;
- The model can also be written in matrix form as  $Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ .

**Example:** We compare the biomass of plants grown under two nitrogen levels and observed the following data:



Here, we can write the model as  $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$  where

$$
\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 20 \\ 22 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}; \ \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \ \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix}; \ \varepsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} \\ \varepsilon_{12} \\ \varepsilon_{21} \\ \varepsilon_{22} \\ \varepsilon_{23} \end{bmatrix}
$$

.

There are multiple ways to write the same linear model. The model in the above example can also be written as as  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \varepsilon$  where

$$
\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 20 \\ 22 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}; \ \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \ \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix}; \ \varepsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} \\ \varepsilon_{12} \\ \varepsilon_{21} \\ \varepsilon_{22} \\ \varepsilon_{23} \end{bmatrix}
$$

.

Here,  $\beta_1$  is the mean of group 1 and  $\beta_2$  is the difference in group mean between group 2 and group 1. This type of coding is referred to as the reference level coding and is used in most statistical software.

A two-way ANOVA model with interaction can be written as

$$
y_{ijk} = \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{ijk} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)
$$

where  $\alpha_i$  is the effect of level *i* of factor *A*,  $\beta_j$  is the effect of level *j* of factor *B*, and  $\gamma_{ii}$  is the interaction effect.

Comments on the two-way ANOVA model

- A two-way ANOVA do not necessarily has all terms as in the model above. For example, if there are reasons to suggest that there are no interactions, you do not need the interaction term.
- Hierarchical principle: if you include a interaction term, you should usually include the main effects involved in that interaction.

Since ANOVA is a linear model, the procedures for parameter estimation and hypotheses testing we derived for linear models can be readily applied here.

- Parameters can be estimated as βˆ = (*X <sup>T</sup>X*) <sup>−</sup>*X <sup>T</sup>Y*;
- Hypothesis can be tested by F-tests. The results are the same as the ANOVA table we presented in previous slides.

$$
SSH = (\Lambda \hat{\beta} - d)^T (\Lambda (X^T X)^{-} \Lambda^T)^{-} (\Lambda \hat{\beta} - d)
$$
  
\n
$$
SSE = Y^T Y - \hat{\beta}^T X^T Y
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sigma^2} SSH/m
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sigma^2} SSE/(n - k - 1) \sim F_{m, n - k - 1}
$$

# **Hypothesis testing in ANOVA**

In a one-way ANOVA, the factor has 3 levels and 3 replicates in each group. Using reference level coding, how do you write the model in matrix form?

$$
\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ y_{13} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{22} \\ y_{23} \\ y_{31} \\ y_{32} \\ y_{33} \end{bmatrix} : \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

#### **Hypothesis testing in ANOVA**

How do you test the hypothesis that the factor has no effects? If the factor has no effects, the group means are equal. Therefore,

$$
H_0: \beta_2 = 0 \text{ and } \beta_3 = 0
$$
  

$$
H_A: \beta_2 \neq 0 \text{ or } \beta_3 \neq 0.
$$

In matrix form, this hypothesis is written as  $\Lambda \beta = 0$ , where

$$
\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}
$$